XLCC CABLE FACTORY - HUNTERSTON

Appendix 13.1 – Detailed Construction Dust Assessment Methodology



OXF12180
V1
February 2022

rpsgroup.com

Document status

Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
0	Draft	ACD	JT	MB	09/02/2022

Approval for issue

Mark Barrett	9 February 2022

Prepared by:

RPS

20 Western Avenue, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 4SH.

Prepared for:

XLCC

David Kelly
UK Development Manager

Kingfisher House, Radford Way, Billericay, Essex, CM12 0EQ

T 07907813786

CONSTRUCTION DUST ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

Source

1.1 The IAQM dust guidance gives examples of the dust emission magnitudes for demolition, earthworks and construction activities and trackout. These example dust emission magnitudes are based on the site area, building volume, number of HDV movements generated by the activities and the materials used. These example magnitudes have been combined with details of the period of construction activities to provide the ranking for the source magnitude that is set out in Table 1.

Table 1: Risk Allocation – Source (Dust Emission Magnitude)

Features of the Source of Dust Emissions	Dust Emission Magnitude
Demolition - building over 50,000 m^3, potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on-site crushing and screening, demolition activities > 20 m above ground level. **Earthworks** – total site area over 10,000 m^2, potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay), >10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds > 8 m in height, total material moved > 100,000 tonnes. **Construction** - total building volume over 100,000 m^3, activities include piling, on-site concrete batching, sand blasting. Period of activities more than two years. **Trackout** – 50 HDV outwards movements in any one day, potentially dusty surface material (e.g. High clay content), unpaved road length > 100 m.	Large
Demolition - building between 20,000 to 50,000 m^3, potentially dusty construction material and demolition activities 10 - 20 m above ground level. **Earthworks** – total site area between 2,500 to 10,000 m^2, moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt), 5 – 10 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds 4 - 8 m in height, total material moved 20,000 to 100,000 tonnes. **Construction** - total building volume between 25,000 and 100,000 m^3, use of construction materials with high potential for dust release (e.g. concrete), activities include piling, on-site concrete batching. Period of construction activities between one and two years. **Trackout** – 10 - 50 HDV outwards movements in any one day, moderately dusty surface material (e.g. High clay content), unpaved road length 50 – 100 m.	Medium
Demolition - building less than 20,000 m^3, construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber), demolition activities < 10 m above ground, demolition during winter months. **Earthworks** – total site area less than 2,500 m^2. Soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand), < 5 heavy earth moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds < 4 m in height, total material moved < 10,000 tonnes earthworks during winter months. **Construction** - total building volume below 25,000 m^3, use of construction materials with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber). Period of construction activities less than one year. **Trackout** – < 10 HDV outwards movements in any one day, surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length < 50 m.	Small

Pathway and Receptor – Sensitivity of the Area

1.2 Pathway means the route by which dust and particulate matter may be carried from the source to a receptor. The main factor affecting the pathway effectiveness is the distance from the receptor to the source. The orientation of the receptors to the source compared to the prevailing wind direction is a relevant risk factor for long-duration construction projects; however, short-term construction projects may be limited to a few months when the most frequent wind direction might be quite different, so adverse effects can potentially occur in any direction from the site.

1.3 As set out in the IAQM dust guidance, a number of attempts have been made to categorise receptors into high, medium and low sensitivity categories; however, there is no unified sensitivity classification scheme that covers the quite different potential effects on property, human health and ecological receptors.

1.4 Table 2 and Table 3 sets out the IAQM basis for categorising the sensitivity of people and property to dust and PM10 respectively. Table 4 sets out the basis for determining the sensitivity of ecological receptors to dust.

Table 2: Sensitivities of People and Property Receptors to Dust

Receptor	Sensitivity
Principles:- • Users can reasonably expect enjoyment of a high level of amenity; or • the appearance, aesthetics or value of their property would be diminished by soiling; and the people or property would reasonably be expected to be present continuously, or at least regularly for extended periods as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. Indicative Examples:- • Dwellings. • Museums and other culturally important collections. • Medium and long-term car parks and car showrooms.	High
Principles:- • Users would expect to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity, but would not reasonably expect to enjoy the same level of amenity as in their home; or • the appearance, aesthetics or value of their property could be diminished by soiling; or • the people or property wouldn't reasonably be expected to be present here continuously or regularly for extended periods as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. Indicative Examples:- • Parks. • Places of work.	Medium
Principles:- • the enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected; or • there is property that would not reasonably be expected to be diminished in appearance, aesthetics or value by soiling; or • there is transient exposure, where the people or property would reasonably be expected to be present only for limited periods of time as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. Indicative Examples:- • Playing fields, farmland (unless commercially-sensitive horticultural). • Footpaths and roads. • Short-term car parks.	Low

Table 3: Sensitivities of People and Property Receptors to PM10

Receptor	Sensitivity
Principles:- • Locations where members of the public are exposed over a time period relevant to the air quality objective (in the case of the 24-hour objective for PM_{10}, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day). Indicative Examples:- • Residential properties. • Schools, hospitals and residential care homes.	High

Receptor	Sensitivity
Principles:- • Locations where the people exposed are workers and exposure is over a time period relevant to the air quality objective (in the case of the 24-hour objective for PM_{10}, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day). Indicative Examples:- • Office and shop workers (but generally excludes workers occupationally exposed to PM10 as protection is covered by Health and Safety at Work legislation).	Medium
Principles:- • Locations where human exposure is transient exposure. Indicative Examples:- • Public footpaths. • Playing fields, parks. • Shopping streets.	Low

Table 4 Sensitivities of Ecological Receptors to Dust

Receptor	Sensitivity
Principles:- • Locations with an international or national designation and the designated features may be affected by dust soiling; or • locations where there is a community of a particularly dust sensitive species such as vascular species included in the Red Data List For Great Britain. Indicative Examples:- • Special Area of Conservation (SAC) designated for acid heathlands adjacent to the demolition of a large site containing concrete (alkali) buildings or for the presence of lichen.	High
Principles:- • Locations where there is a particularly important plant species, where its dust sensitivity is uncertain or unknown; or • locations with a national designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition. Indicative Examples:- • Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) with dust sensitive features.	Medium
Principles:- • Locations with a local designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition. Indicative Examples:- • A Local Nature Reserve with dust sensitive features	Low

1.5 The IAQM methodology combines consideration of the pathway and receptor to derive the 'sensitivity of the area'. Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7 show how the sensitivity of the area has been derived for this assessment.

Table 5: Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors [a]	Distance from the Source (m) [b]			
		<20	<50	<100	<350
High	>100	High	High	Medium	Low
	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low

Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors [a]	Distance from the Source (m) [b]			
		<20	<50	<100	<350
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low

The sensitivity of the area has been derived for demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout.

a The total number of receptors within the stated distance has been estimated. Only the highest level of area sensitivity from the table has been recorded.

b For trackout, the distances have been measured from the side of the roads used by construction traffic. Without site-specific mitigation, trackout may occur from roads up to 500 metres from large sites, 200 metres from medium sites and 50 metres from small sites, as measured from the site exit. The impact declines with distance from the site, and trackout impacts have only been considered up to 50 metres from the edge of the road.

Table 6: Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts

Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM_{10} Concentration [a]	Number of Receptors [b, c]	Distance from the Source (m) [d]				
			<20	<50	<100	<200	<350
High	> 18 µg.m^{-3}	>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
		10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	16 - 18 µg.m^{-3}	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	14 - 16 µg.m^{-3}	>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	< 14 µg.m^{-3}	>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium	> 18 µg.m^{-3}	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1 – 10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	14 – 18 µg.m^{-3}	> 10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
	< 14 µg.m^{-3}	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

The sensitivity of the area has been derived for demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout.

a This refers to the background concentration derived from the assessment of baseline conditions later in this report.

b The total number of receptors within the stated distance has been estimated. Only the highest level of area sensitivity from the table has been recorded.

Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM_{10} Concentration [a]	Number of Receptors [b, c]	Distance from the Source (m) [d]				
			<20	<50	<100	<200	<350

c For high sensitivity receptors with high occupancy (such as schools or hospitals), the approximate number of occupants has been used to derive an equivalent number of receptors.

d For trackout, the distances have been measured from the side of the roads used by construction traffic. Without site-specific mitigation, trackout may occur from roads up to 500 m from large sites, 200 m from medium sites and 50 m from small sites, as measured from the site exit. The impact declines with distance from the site, and trackout impacts have only been considered up to 50 m from the edge of the road.

Table 7: Sensitivity of the Area to Ecological Impacts

Receptor Sensitivity	Distance from the Source (m) [a]	
	<20	<50
High	High	Medium
Medium	Medium	Low
Low	Low	Low

The sensitivity of the area has been derived for demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout and for each designated site.
Only the highest level of area sensitivity has been recorded.

1.6 The IAQM dust guidance lists the following additional factors that can potentially affect the sensitivity of the area and, where necessary, professional judgement has been used to adjust the sensitivity allocated to a particular area:

- any history of dust generating activities in the area;
- the likelihood of concurrent dust generating activity on nearby sites;
- any pre-existing screening between the source and the receptors;
- any conclusions drawn from analysing local meteorological data which accurately represent the area; and if relevant the season during which the works will take place;
- any conclusions drawn from local topography;
- duration of the potential impact, as a receptor may become more sensitive over time; and
- any known specific receptor sensitivities which are considered go beyond the classifications given in the table above.

1.7 The matrices in Table 8, Table 9, Table 10 and Table 11 have been used to assign the risk for each activity to determine the level of mitigation that should be applied. For those cases where the risk category is 'negligible', no mitigation measures are required beyond those mandated by legislation.

Table 8: Risk of Dust Impacts - Demolition

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table 9: Risk of Dust Impacts - Earthworks

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table 10: Risk of Dust Impacts - Construction

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Table 11: Risk of Dust Impact - Trackout

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible